Chapter XI

FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE OUTCOMES


1. Introduction

The potential effects of Herbicide Orange exposure on reproduction, fertility, or the incidence of birth defects are highly emotional issues among Vietnam veterans and have received wide media coverage. Animal fertility studies in various species have shown variations in 2,4-D; 2,4,5-T and TCDD toxicity relative to age, dosage levels and routes of administration. exposed male mice when mated with unexposed females exhibited no abnormalities in mating behavior, fertility, sperm concentration, sperm motility, survival of offspring, or neonatal development (Lamb, 1980). Conversely, administering Herbicide Orange directly to pregnant mice resulted in three fetal effects: cleft palate, decrease in fetal weight, and fetal mortality (Courtney, 1970). The Australian Birth Defects Study of veterans serving in Vietnam showed no association between birth defects of children from veterans and their Vietnam experience (Case Control Study, Australia 1983). Reports from the Seveso, Italy accident, where 220,000 people were potentially exposed to TCDD in 1976, have shown that the incidence of congenital malformations and abortions in exposed women was below expected values for the region. Of 34 aborted fetuses examined for defects, no fetal malformations were attributed to exposure to TCDD. tionally, developmental abnormalities in children have not been exhibited (Regianni, 1980). A reproductive study of the wives of DOW Chemical Company workers exposed to 2,4,5-T/TCDD found no differences in fertility patterns, wastage, or birth defects (Townsend and Badner, 1981). In 1979 the Administrator of Environmental Protection Agency declared an emergency suspension of 2,4,5,-T based on the Alsea, Oregon study finding of an increased incidence of spontaneous abortion in 3 Oregon areas sprayed with the herbicides. This study's findings prepared by the Epidemiologic Studies Program, Human Effects Monitoring Branch, Benefits and Field Studies Division, Office of Pesticide Programs, Office of Toxic Substances, and The Environmental Protection Agency remain controversial.

Data concerning fertility and reproductive events in this study were collected during the questionnaire and physical examination. Questions regarding reproduction, fertility/infertility, and offspring history were asked of study participants both in the in-home questionnaire and at the physical examination. In addition to the data collected from male respondents, questionnaires focusing on reproductive history were administered to all available spouses and partners. The data from the reconciliation of subject and spouse questionnaire responses constitute the data base described in this report. This reconciliation was based primarily on spouse data and study participant data only when spouse data was not collected. Analyses for this chapter are based on non-verified subjective questionnaire reporting. Analyses for this chapter are based on non-tains data on children with defects and not defects per se. When a child was reported to have multiple birth abnormalities the most serious was analyzed. Sperm counts, and sperm abnormalities from the physical examination are also

Verification of reported fertility events is presently ongoing and the analyses presented here are based on interim unverified data. Seven thousand three hundred ninety-nine conceptions are analyzed in this chapter. These represent 3293 Ranch Handers' or their spouses' reported conceptions and 4106 total comparison group or their spouses' reported conceptions. Comparison conceptions include 2669 original and 1437 shifted and replaced comparisons. The Ranch Hand and original comparisons' conceptions were analyzed considering 5 covariates: mother's smoking and drinking during each conception; mother's age; father's age; and the time of conception, i.e, before or after the father's military tour in Southeast Asia. Log-linear models were used to analyze the reproductive events of interest: miscarriages, still births, induced abortions, infant and neonatal deaths, and total numbers of live births. Live births were further analyzed for reported birth defects, learning disabilities and physical handicaps. Analyzed birth defects were those reported within a comprehensive range of ICD codes. Other reported birth defects included a broad range of pediatric conditions perceived by the parents as birth defects. Birth defects meeting ICD definition are further classified as to the severity of the defect. Fertility and reproductive outcomes were not analyzed by race for this report. These data will be presented in subsequent reports.

Questionnaire collection of fertility and reproductive information was linked to reproductive events that occurred while the participant was married, living with a partner, or reported in the questionnaire as other pregnancies. Fertility and reproductive events were keyed to the specific relationship in order to reconcile the information with similar data collected from all available spouses and partners. Figure XI-1 presents an algorithm for the development of the fertility data base.



Of the 7204 total respondent reported conceptions shown in Figure XI-I (84%) were reported as live births and 1157 (16%) were reported as nonlive births. The spouses reported 6333 total conceptions. These are shown in the upper right portion of the figure. Of the total conceptions reported by spouses as attributable to the male respondent, 5308 (84%) were reported as live births and 1025 (16%) were reported as nonlive births. Figure XI-1 that the spouse-reported births were matched to the respondent reported live births and 38 children were added to the respondent data base. Six thousand The first born of multiple eighty-five live births were thus identified. births were maintained in the data base and the remaining children were deleted Three hundred thirty-four nonlive births were yielding 6040 live births. added to the nonlive birth study subject file as a result of the match of the male respondent and spouse files. Seven thousand three hundred ninety-nine total conceptions are contained in the merge of the live and nonlive birth files.

The data in Figure XI-1 are based on unverified data. The data in the fertility file has not been fully cleansed of keypunch, editing or other potential The study participant data collection stressed natural errors. children; but, inadvertently, data collection resulted in information on multiple adopted, step and natural children. Additionally, there was no data link Following receipt of data, a between spouse, male respondent and children. USAF computer system was created to define this link, but precise definition of total conceptions, live births and nonlive births must await verification by receipt of birth certificates and medical records. This processing is presin future reports. Of the 7399 ently ongoing and will be finalized conceptions analyzed in this report 3293 were reported by Ranch Handers or their spouses and 4106 were reported by the total comparison group or their Comparison conception included 2669 in the subset of originally selected comparison individuals and 1437 in the group of shifted and replacement comparisons.

2. Fertility/Infertility

Data on the number of conceptions, number of marriages, duration of marital and nonmarital relationships, and the number of couples with the desired number of children were gathered during the in-home questionnaire. Three reproductive indices were derived from these data; the Infertility Index (number of childless marriages per total number of marriages), the Married Fertility Index (number of conceptions per years of marriage) and the Total Fertility Index (number of conceptions per years together). The Total Fertility Index includes time spent in nonmarital relationships. The data on fertility/infertility outcomes are presented in Table XI-1.

Table XI-1

FERTILITY/INFERTILITY OUTCOMES
FOR QUESTIONNAIRE COMPLIANT INDIVIDUALS

		Group		P value; RH	
Variable	RH	<u>oc</u>	AC	<u>Originals</u>	_A11
Number of participants	1174	956	1531	-	<u>.</u>
Number of Marriages	1456	1167	1860	-	-
Number of conceptions	3292	2668	4106	-	·
Number of participants with conceptions	1043	856	1359	-	-
Mean number of conceptions per participant	2.80	2.79	2.68		· -
Mean number of marriages	1.24	1.22	1.21	-	
Number of childless marriages	385	283	448	-	-
Infertility index	0.264	0.243	0.241	0.32	0.23
Number of couples with children, having the					
desired number of children	708	560	891	0.67	0.73
Married fertility index	0.165	0.155	0.158	3 >0.25	>0.25
Total fertility index	0.163	0.154	0.157	7 >0.25	>0.25

RH = Ranch Hand

OC = Original Comparisons

AC = All Comparisons

Although the crude numbers of conceptions and childless marriages differ between the Ranch Hand and comparison groups, the mean number of conceptions per participant and the proportion of marriages without children are not different. The percentages of couples with children who had the desired number of children, are not significantly different.

Two hundred eighty-three of the 1045 Ranch Handers (27.1%) and 211 of the 733 originally selected comparisons (27.3%) attending the physical examination had vasectomies (P = 0.92). Seven hundred fifty-eight of the Ranch Handers (72.5%) and 561 of the comparisons (76.5%) submitted semen specimens. Of those participants willing and able to provide semen specimens, 186 Ranch

Handers and 140 comparisons had vasectomies and/or orchiectomies (N=6) and were therefore excluded from the statistical analysis of sperm counts. Six of these participants with a history of vasectomy were found to have sperm in their specimen and they were informed of these findings.

The semen specimens from the remaining 993 participants were analyzed by general linear model techniques, using continuous variables of sperm count and the percentage of each participant's sperm which had abnormal morphology. The means, standard deviations and median values for the sperm counts and percent of sperm with abnormal morphology are displayed in Table XI-2. These analyses were adjusted for age and exposure to industrial chemicals, and revealed no significant group differences in sperm counts (adjusted P = 0.77), or in the percentage of abnormal sperm morphology (adjusted P = 0.71). Twenty-seven Ranch Handers and 19 comparisons had abnormal sperm morphology out of 560 and 409 Unprotected exposure to industrial chemianalyzed specimens, respectively. cals (ever, never) had no significant effect in these analyses. had a significant effect on sperm count (P = 0.0001), with sperm count increas-The relevance of this observation is unclear since the counts ing with age. may be biased somewhat by the differential compliance observed with increasing Compliance differed significantly with age (P < 0.001) but not by group (P = 0.78). This in sperm count increase was the same in both the Ranch Hand and comparison groups, with a slope of 1.69 in the Ranch Hand/original analysis, and 1.85 in the Ranch Hand/all analysis. These slopes were significantly There was no significant association bedifferent from zero (P = 0.0001). tween age and abnormal sperm morphology (adjusted P = 0.57). The distribution of sperm counts in the two groups is presented in Figure XI-2, and the distribution of abnormal sperm morphology percentage is displayed in Figure XI-3. The patterns of compliance to semen specimen collection is shown in Figure XI-4.

Table XI-2

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF SPERM VARIABLES BY GROUP

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	P value
Count (in million/ml)				
Original Comparisons	111.864	108.833	80 \	0.77
Ranch Hand	111.469	102.782	86 (
All Comparisons	111.025	108.475	78 /	0.99
Percent Abnormal Sperm	,			
Original Comparisons	9.614	5.182	9 \	0.71
Ranch Hand	9.705	5.525	9 (
All Comparisons	9.643	5.946	8 /	0.79

Figure XI-2
DISTRIBUTION OF SPERM COUNTS BY GROUP






Figure XI-3
DISTRIBUTION OF ABNORMAL SPERM BY GROUP



SEMEN SPECIMEN COMPLIANCE BY ASE AND GROUP



3. Conception Outcomes

In the evaluation of the outcomes of pregnancies fathered by study participants, analyses were conducted on all reported pregnancies in which the date of conception was known, and repeated on a subset of those in which information on maternal age, maternal smoking, and drinking habits was available from spouse questionnaires (complete data subset). There were an additional 95 conceptions in which data were too incomplete for analysis, and thus were deleted from the data base.

There is no difference in the pattern of missing data between the two groups, as shown in Table XI-3.

Table XI-3

COMPLETENESS OF CONCEPTION INFORMATION

Group	Complete Data	Partial Data	Incomplete Data	P Values
Original Comparisons	The state of the s		42 (1.6%)	0.59
Ranch Hand	2781 (84.5%)	459 (13.9%)	53 (1.6\$)	0.64
All Comparisons	3435 (83.7%)	599 (14.6%)	72 (1.8%) /	0.54

The occurrence of miscarriage was determined for each conception in which a Similarly, outcomes of induced abortion, stillbirth and date was reported. live birth were also determined. Adjustments for maternal factors of age (< 35, \geq 35), smoking (yes, no) and alcohol use (yes, no) and paternal age (< 35, \geq 35) could not be performed on these pregnancies with partial data, and no analysis was possible on those with incomplete data. In the covariate adjusted analyses, the primary statistical relationship of interest is the complex rela-Use of the pre-SEA conception tionship between group outcome and time. experiences allows the Ranch Hand pre-SEA conceptions to serve as a standard for comparison with post-SEA conceptions. This is of special importance since 63.2% of the Ranch Hand and 63.6% of the comparison conceptions were pre-SEA events. Table XI-4 presents the data and the results of the analysis of these Similar analyses using data from the entire comparison group are presented in Appendix X. The results of these additional analyses were essentially the same as those in Table XI-4.

ANALYSES OF CONCEPTION OUTCOMES, UNADJUSTED FOR MATERNAL COVARIABLES (COMPLETE AND PARTIAL DATA SUBSETS);
RANCH HANDERS VERSUS ORIGINAL COMPARISON

Table XI-4

		Pre-SE	:A		Post-S	EA
	Yes	(%)	No	Yes	(%)	No
Miscarriage					•	
Ranch Hand	295	(14.4)	1754	190	(15.9)	1001
Comparison (0)	205	(12.3)	1467	130	(13.6)	825
		P = 0.	06		P = 0.	13
Stillbirth						
Ranch Hand	13	(0.6)	2036	16	(1.3)	1175
Comparison (0)	13	(8.0)	1659	8	(8.0)	947
		P = 0.	60		P = 0.	27
Induced Abortion						
Ranch Hand	13		2036	62	(5.2)	1129
Comparison (0)	14	(8.0)	1658	65	(6.8)	890
		P = 0.	47		P = 0.1	2
Live Birth						
Ranch Hand		(84.1)	326	917	(77.0)	274
Comparison (0)	1435	(85.8)	237	744	(77.9)	211
		P = 0.1	5		P = 0.6	52

These data demonstrate a borderline significant group difference in miscarriage (P = 0.06) prior to Southeast Asia duty and a suggestion of a difference (P = 0.13) post-SEA. However, inferences based on these analyses, unadjusted for key factors affecting pregnancy outcome, are of questionable value. Therefore, those conceptions in which full covariate information was known, were analyzed in greater detail.

The data reflecting outcomes for both pre- and post-SEA conceptions are shown in Table XI-5, and the results of the adjusted analyses are displayed in Table XI-6.

CONCEPTION OUTCOMES (COMPLETE DATA SUBSET)
BY GROUP MEMBERSHIP AND TIME;
RANCH HANDERS VERSUS ORIGINAL COMPARISONS

Table XI-5

	Pre-SEA			Post-SEA		
	Yes	(%)	No	Yes	(%)	No
Miscarriage						
Ranch Hand Comparison	239 172	(13.7) (11.9)	1505 1276	156 104	(15.0) (12.5)	883 726
		P = 0.13			P = 0.12	
Stillbirth						
Ranch Hand Comparison	9 8	(0.5) (0.6)	1735 1440	12 8	(1.2) (1.0)	1027 822
		P = 0.89			P = 0.69	
Induced Abortion						
Ranch Hand Comparison	8 7	(0.5) (0.5)	1736 1441	37 33	(3.6) (4.0)	1002 797
		P = 0.92			P = 0.61	
Live Birth						
Ranch Hand Comparison	1487 1258	(85.3) (86.9)	257 190	833 682	(80.2) (82.2)	206 148
		P = 0.19			P = 0.27	

Table XI-6

RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS OF CONCEPTION OUTCOMES; RANCH HANDERS VERSUS ORIGINAL COMPARISONS

Relationship	P value
Miscarriage by Group by Pre/Post-SEA	0.76
Stillbirth by Group by Pre/Post-SEA	1.00
Induced Abortion by Group by Pre/Post-SEA	0.89
Live Birth by Group by Pre/Post-SEA	0.94

Although a group difference of 15% versus 12.5% in post-SEA miscarriage is observed (P = 0.12), both groups had similar post-SEA conception outcomes relative to their own pre-SEA baseline experiences (P = 0.76). Ranch Hand miscarriages increased from 13.7% pre-SEA to 15.0% post-SEA while comparison miscarriages increased from 11.9% to 12.5%. Thus, while more Ranch Hand conceptions resulted in miscarriages than the comparisons, they started from a higher level before their herbicide exposures occurred, and in the overall analyses, there was no significant difference. These rates of miscarriage are comparable to estimates of 10-20% for the general US population (Last, 1980). The rate of stillbirths in the US population is 0.98%, again comparable to the observed rates in this study. Similar analyses were conducted using data from all comparison individuals, and the results of these procedures were similar to those presented in Table XI-6. The data and analytic results of these additional analyses are shown in Appendix X.

The effect of increasing maternal age was evident in all of these measures, with highly significant increases in miscarriage and induced abortion and decreases in live births associated with increasing age ($P \le 0.01$). The increase in induced abortions in both groups is unexplained, but is most likely the result of the altered legal status of induced abortion and its increased social acceptance.

Exposure index analyses were performed in each of the three occupational categories (Officers; Enlisted, Flying; and Enlisted, Ground). The degree of exposure in each of these categories was stratified as low, medium or high (see Chapter VIII). Since the stratification by occupational category and exposure level and patterns of missing covariate data resulted in smaller groups, analyses had to be conducted using each covariate separately. A single analysis using all covariates would have resulted in unacceptably small cell sizes for meaningful analysis. The number of conception outcomes by occupational category available for each covariate analysis are presented in Table XI-7, and results of each covariate analysis are shown in Table XI-8.

Table XI-7

NUMBER AND RESULT OF CONCEPTION OUTCOMES FOR EACH COVARIATE ANALYSIS
BY OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORY

			Category						
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Enl i	sted	Enlis	ted	
			Offi	cers	Fly	ing	Grou	nd	
<u>Parameter</u>	Covaria	able	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Miscarriage	Maternal	Smoking	34	225	19	100	102	542	
	Maternal		34	225	19	100	102	542	
	Maternal		44	241	22	119	122	608	
	Paternal	_	ft și	250	22	119	122	617	
Stillbirth	Maternal	Smoking	2	257	2	117	7	637	
	Maternal	Alcohol	2	257	2	117	7	637	
	Maternal		2 3	282	2	139	8	722	
	Paternal	_	4	290	2	139	9	730	
Induced									
Abortion	Maternal	Smoking	17	242	6	113	14	630	
	Maternal	Alcohol	17	242	6	113	14	630	
	Maternal	Age	18	267	9	132	23	707	
	Paternal		24	270	9	132	29	710	
Live Birth	Maternal	Smoking	205	54	92	27	521	123	
	Maternal	-	205	54	92	27	521	123	
	Maternal		219	66	108	33	576	154	
	Paternal	•	219	75	108	33	576	163	

Table XI-8

RESULTS OF THE CONCEPTION/EXPOSURE INDEX ANALYSES

Outcome/Exposure
P Value. Adjusted for:

		relate, Adjusted 101:				
			Maternal		Paternal	
<u>Parameter</u>	Occupational Category	Smoking	Alcohol	Age	Age	
Miscarriage	Officers	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.06	
	Enlisted, Flying	0.30	0.26	0.19*	0.20	
	Enlisted, Ground	0.54	0.50	0.62	0.51	
Stillbirth	Officers	-	-	-	_	
	Enlisted, Flying	-	-	_	-	
	Enlisted, Ground	-	-	-	-	
Induced Abortion	Officers	0.12	0.12	0.04*	<0.01*	
	Enlisted, Flying	•	- ·	-	-	
	Enlisted, Ground	0.25	0.25	0.48	0.43*	
Live Birth	Officers	0.27	0.24	0.57*	0.59*	
	Enlisted, Flying	0.60	0.55*	0.37*	0.45	
	Enlisted, Ground	0.24	0.23	0.29	0.43	

^{*} Three-way covariate interaction is present.

The only statistically significant findings observed are for miscarriage and for induced abortion among officers. Consistent patterns of increasing adverse outcomes of pregnancy with increasing herbicide exposure are not evident for other outcomes. In all four covariable analyses in the officer group, there was a significant association between miscarriage and exposure level (low, medium and high).

4. Live Birth Outcomes

Those conceptions resulting in a live birth were further analyzed to determine the frequency of adverse events in those infants and children. As in the assessment of conceptions, unadjusted analyses were conducted on all reported live births in which a date of conception was known or could be estimated from the known date of birth. Analyses were repeated on those live births for which information on maternal age, maternal smoking, and maternal use of alcohol were available. Table XI-9 presents the distribution of live births within the subsets with complete and partial data. The difference in the proportion of the groups with only partial data are not statistically significant. Those births with inadequate data are omitted.

⁻ Data too sparse for valid statistical analysis

Table XI-9
COMPLETENESS OF LIVE BIRTH DATA

	Complete Data	Partial Data	Total	P Values
Original Comparisons	1940 (89.0%)	239 (11.0%)	2179	0.21
Ranch Hand	2320 (87.8%)	320 (12.2%)	2179 \ 2640 (
All Comparisons	2922 (87.25)	429 (12.8%)	3351 ′	, 0.43

Based on in-home questionnaire responses and respondent definitions of gestational age, there were no differences in the occurrence of prematurity, and postmaturity in the Ranch Hand and comparisons groups (P=0.85). Further analyses of the incidence of prematurity based on objective criteria of birth weight will be conducted after birth certificate verification.

Information concerning learning disabilities, physical handicaps, birth defects and the occurrence of neonatal and infant death was collected for each The information was obtained as a "yes" response primarily from the spouse questionnaire. Study subject responses were used when spouse data were unavailable. Data collection questions included: "Did (child) have any birth defects?"; "Does/Did (child) have a diagnosed learning disability?"; and "Does/Did (child) have any physical, mental, or motor impairments?" responses to all 3 questions had been coded by the USAF from the ICD-9-CM based on the mother's or father's statement concerning the kind of birth defect, learning disability or physical, mental or motor impairment. For each defect reported for each child, the interviewer had the opportunity to document 3 statements within the question regarding the kind of birth or developmental Therefore, each yes response had in some cases 3 ICD-9-CM codes. A computer program was written to select defined birth defects, learning disamental and motor impairments. For the child bilities and physical, multiple reported birth defects, he/she was counted only once for analysis. For children with multiple reported birth defects the most serious condition was analyzed. This report contains data on children with reported defects and not all reported defects; analyses of total reported defects will occur in a future report. A thorough review of the birth defect codes including key punch and code verification was accomplished prior to analysis of the merged data file. This review was not accomplished for reported learning disabilities or physical, mental and motor impairments, neonatal or infant death. The comprehensive definition of those reported defects within the definition for this report are presented in Appendix V. Reported birth defects not within the acceptable definition are presented in Appendix XIX.

Counts of the total-reported and within-definition birth defects are presented in Table XI-10. Fifty-nine percent of the Ranch Hand and 64% of the total comparison reported defects were within the acceptable defined range of birth defect.

Table XI-10

COUNT AND PERCENT OF TOTAL REPORTED

WITHIN-DEFINITION BIRTH DEFECTS

	Total Reported	Within Definition	P Values
Original Comparison	218	137 (63\$)	0.37
Ranch Hand	292	172 (59%)	_
All Comparisons	334	212 (64%) /	0.24

The 5-6% difference in the perception of conditions which constitute a birth defect is not statistically significant. However, differential reporting of birth defects is of concern because media attention to hypothesized effects from exposure to the herbicide may affect parental reporting. In addition literature suggests the possibility that parents could perceive post-SEA births as "vulnerable" children (McCormick, 1982). Because of the above factors, all reported defects within range were categorized as severe, moderate, and limited (those of minor medical consequence) birth defects. This approach is based on a recent study (Christianson, 1981) which demonstrated that the incidence of reported congenital anomalies increased as children aged. Living children with reported defect average 23 years of age at the present time, with an age range of 2 through 39 years, and therefore, many years of parental observation have elapsed. The definition used for the collapsing of data into this system are as follows:

Severe: Conditions which are life threatening or produce severe handicaps (e.g., physical, mental, motor).

Moderate: Conditions which are not life threatening and handicaps which with medical care will not interfere with the individual's overall health or socio-economic progress.

Limited: All conditions which without medical care would not interfere with the individual's health or socio-economic progress. Those reported birth defects without type of defect data were included in the limited category.

Responses to birth defects which were unclear, incomplete or could be classified into more than one category were classified in the highest category applicable to the condition.

Table XI-11 summarizes the reported birth defects categorized by level of severity system.

Table XI-11

SUMMARY OF CHILDREN REPORTED WITH BIRTH DEFECTS BY LEVEL OF SEVERITY (SEVERE, MODERATE, LIMITED) RANCH HAND AND COMPARISON,

PRE AND POST SEA TOUR

Nature of Reported Defect	Ranch Hand	Origi: <u>Compar</u> <u>Counts</u>		Total Comparis Counts	
		PRE-SEA		<u>.</u> .	
Severe Moderate Limited	51 56. 32 35. 7 8		57 31 12	62 40 20	51 33 16
TOTAL	30 100			. =	
		POST-SEA			
Severe Moderate Limited	32 40 22 27 26 32		37 - 5 41 - 5 21	34 34 18	40 40 20
TOTAL	80 100	48	100	86	100
	TOTAL	(PRE AND POST-SEA)		
Severe Moderate Limited	83 49 54 32 33 19	68 47 20	50 35 15	96 74 <u>38</u>	46 36 18
TOTAL	170 100	135	100	208	100

This table shows that overall, 19% of the Ranch Hand, 15% of the original and 18% of the total comparison group reported birth defects were classified as "limited." Ranch Handers reported 8% limited pre-SEA and 32.5% post-SEA. Original comparisons reported 12% pre-SEA and 21% post-SEA and total comparisons reported 16% and 20%, respectively. These observations will be analyzed more fully in subsequent reports.

Table XI-12 presents the analysis of the live birth outcomes for the partial and complete data subsets unadjusted for maternal factors of smoking, age and alcohol use.

Table XI-12

ANALYSES OF LIVE BIRTH OUTCOMES, UNADJUSTED FOR MATERNAL COVARIABLES (COMPLETE AND PARTIAL DATA SUBSETS);

RANCH HANDERS VERSUS ORIGINAL COMPARISONS

	Pre-SEA			Post-SEA		
	Yes	(%)	No	Yes	(%)	No
Learning Disability		•				
Ranch Hand	61	(3.5)	1662	77	(8.4)	840
Comparison	62	(4.3)	1373	51	(6.9)	693 ·
		P = 0.26	5		P = 0.24	1
Physical Handicaps						
Ranch Hand	144	(8.3)	1579	132		785
Comparison	112	(7.4)	1323	85	(11.4)	659
		P = 0.57	7		P = 0.07	7
Infant Death						
Ranch Hand	8	(0.5)	1715	4		913
Comparison	3	(0.2)	1432	3	(0.4)	741
		P = 0.23	3		P = 0.92	2
Birth Defects						
Ranch Hand	90 87	(5.2) (6.1)	1633	80 48	(8.7) (6.5)	837 696
Comparison	01	(0.1)	1348	40	(0.5)	090
•		P = 0.31	l		P = 0.08	3
Neonatal Death						
Ranch Hand Comparison	25 17	(1.5) (1.2)	1698 1418	14 3	(1.5) (0.4)	903 741
Compar 13011	1 ((1.42)	1710	3	(0.4)	/ * 1 1
		P = 0.51		.	P = 0.03	2

Live birth outcomes were not statistically different in the 2 groups prior to the participants tour of military duty in SEA. However, 3 of the 5 measures of outcomes after SEA duty demonstrated borderline or statistically significant differences between the Ranch Hand and comparison groups. The significant findings in neonatal deaths (P = 0.02), and the borderline significant

finding for birth defects (P = 0.08) and physical handicaps (P = 0.07) were not adjusted for the effects of key covariables. Therefore, the data from those live births with full covariate information (complete data subset) concerning the maternal covariables were analyzed. Table XI-13 displays the pre-SEA and post-SEA data from this subset of births.

Table XI-13

LIVE BIRTH OUTCOMES (COMPLETE DATA SUBSET);
RANCH HANDERS VERSUS ORIGINAL COMPARISONS

Parameter	Group	Pre-SEA			Post-SEA				
		Yes	(%)	No	Yes	(%)	No		
Learning	RH	57	(3.8)	1430	75	(9.0)	758		
Disability	Comp	57	(4.5)	1201	47	(6.9)	635		
Physical	RH	134	(9.0)	1353	126	(15.1)	707		
Handicap	Comp	103	(8.2)	1155	77	(11.3)	605		
Infant	RH	7	(0.5)	1480	3	(0.4)	830		
Death	Comp	2	(0.2)	1256	1	(0.1)	681		
Birth	RH	78	(5.2)	1409	76	(9.1)	757		
Defects*	Comp	80	(6.4)	1178	44	(6.5)	638		
Neonatal	RH	20	(1.3)	1467	1 4	(1.7)	819		
Death	Comp	17	(1.4)	1241	3	(0.4)	679		

^{*}Analysis includes 2 Ranch Hand birth defects which were double counted.

Log-linear analyses, simultaneously considering all covariates (maternal age, maternal smoking, and maternal alcohol use, and paternal age) were accomplished. Table XI-14 confirmed the differences in birth defects initially seen in the unadjusted analyses of post-SEA live births. This finding was statistically significant (P = 0.04) after adjusted analysis. Suggestive associations were noted in learning disabilities (P = 0.19) and in neonatal deaths (P = 0.20). Incidence rates of neonatal death and infant death in the general US population are estimated to be 0.99% and 1.4%, respectively (Last, 1980). The incidence rate of major birth defects in the general population is estimated to be 3-5%, but varies, depending upon the criteria used to define the "defects."

Table XI-14

RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS OF LIVE BIRTH OUTCOMES; RANCH HANDERS VERSUS ORIGINAL COMPARISONS

Relationship	P Value
Learning Disability by Group by Pre/Post SEA	0.19
Physical Handicap by Group by Pre/Post SEA	0.45
Infant Death by Group by Pre/Post SEA	0.81
Birth Defects by Group by Pre/Post SEA	0.04
Neonatal Death by Group by Pre/Post SEA	0.20

The distribution of reported post-SEA birth defects is presented in Table This table clarifies the reported birth anomalies by level of medical consequence. Twelve congenital anomalies of the skin (ICD code 757) are present in the Ranch Hand data. This category of skin anomalies is quite broad, and includes simple birth marks, pigmentary changes, and more serious condi-Reanalysis of the data concerning birth defects among live births in which full covariate data were available was accomplished with skin anomalies deleted. The birth anomalies included in the ICD category 757 are generally of minor medical consequences and their removal from analysis can be expected to provide a clearer understanding of group differences in birth defects of major health significance. This analysis revealed no significant group difference between Ranch Hand and comparison group live births for the remaining nonskin birth anomalies (P = 0.14). However, this weak association is still of inter-All reported birth defects are presently being validated by medical record reviews. Significant associations were noted (P < 0.05) between maternal smoking during pregnancy and learning disabilities, physical handicaps, infant deaths and birth defects. Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy was also associated with physical handicaps (P < 0.001). Future analyses of the birth defect data will also make use of the severity level classification. Live birth analyses using data from all of the comparisons were also conducted, and are contained in Appendix X. These analyses identified significant group differences in physical handicaps, birth defects and neonatal deaths. However, the influences of increased sample size and potential replacement group bias (differential reporting) have not been taken into consideration in these analyses.

Table XI-15

COUNTS OF ANALYZED POST-RVN BIRTH DEFECTS REPORTED BY RANCH HANDERS AND ORIGINAL COMPARISONS BY ICD CODE, LEVEL OF SEVERITY, AND AS STATED BY PARENT

Ranch Hand					Original Comparisons			
ICD-9-CM		of Seve	eitv	Nomenclature		of Se		
Codes	S	M	T.	Reported by Spouse/Study Subject	S	M	L	
	=	=	=		_	-	-	
228	1 I	1	l	Elcod tumor on nose	1	1	1 1	
	.			Hemagicma on left portion of head				
				and face				
	ľ	1						
5240		ĺ		Micrognathia	1*]	
		Ì						
5531				Umbilical hernia		1	Į l	l
]		l			
741	1*			Spina bifida	Į.		, ,	ļ
	1*	i		Open spine (severe case of Spina			}	
		ļ	. 1	bifida)				
-1		,	ļ	a to a send and bursts up to summarked			1 .	l
742	1*	-		Spinal cord and brain not connected	-		ļ	
	1*			Brain damage	- 1		ł	
7)ia		ļ	1	Slightly, eye coordination	1		1	
743			'	mighty, eye ook unactor	ł		1	l
744	1 1			Deaf in left ear (nerve under-	1		1	1
144	'		3	developed)				
				Malformed ear	ľ	1	Ì	
	1	2		Bump on ear				
		1		Missing small part of right earlobe			1	
				•				l
745	1 1			Septal defects	2]	
	1*			Double outlet right ventricle				
•			·	Heart murmur	1			l
	1			Foramen ovale was not totally closed			Į.	ı
	ļ _			l			ł	
746	1 1	į		A congenital heart		!	ļ	ļ
	1			Heart valve			1	
	ן ז	(Heart SV node, two nodes in heart		1	1	
		,	}	Heart condition	1	ı	1	ı
	1 1		,	Blue baby	'		}	١
747	3	ļ		Patent ductus				l
(7)	ر ا	ŀ	1	Varicose vein in right groin			1	
		ì	'				1	l
748	2*	ነ		Underdeveloped lungs, Premature			1	١
,	-		1	Spot on lung				
	1	1		_]	
	•	•		•	•	-	•	•

Table XI-15 (Cont)

COUNTS OF ANALYZED POST-RVN BIRTH DEFECTS REPORTED BY RANCH HANDERS AND ORIGINAL COMPARISONS BY ICD CODE, LEVEL OF SEVERITY, AND AS STATED BY PARENT

	Ranch Hand				Original Comparisons			
ICD-9-CM	Level	of Seve	rity	Nomenclature	Level of Severit			
Codes	<u>s</u>	M	<u>L</u>	Reported by Spouse/Study Subject	<u>s</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>L</u>	
749	2			Cleft lip Cleft palate	2	-		
750	1	1	Ħ	Pyloric stenosis Skin growing across his esophagus Large bubble or abscess on throat TE fistula Tongue tied	1			
751	1*			Couldn't eat her food				
752		1		Undescended testicle Hypospadia Opening for uninating lower than normal Vagina fused, had operation		3		
753	1	1		Defective kidney Malformation of right kidney Infantile polycystic kidney disease				
75 ⁴		1	5	Talipes Club foot Dislocated hips Leg bowed in at birth required cast and then braces Chest cavity deformity Ankle bones deformed Foot turned in Toes turned in	2	3 1 2	1	
755	1	1 1		Left hand had no fingers, has thumb Crocked femur bone Possible hip or feet or both developed later Deformed feet Two toes joined together Hip and foot defect, wore a brace Extra finger and toe	1 1	2		

Table XI-15 (Cont)

COUNTS OF ANALYZED POST-RVN BIRTH DEFECTS REPORTED BY RANCH HANDERS AND ORIGINAL COMPARISONS BY ICD CODE, LEVEL OF SEVERITY, AND AS STATED BY PARENT

ICD-9	⊬Œ		ch Hend of Sev		Nomenclature	Con	riginal pariso	
Cod		S	М	L	Reported by Spouse/Study Subject	<u>s</u>	M	L
		-	1	1 3	Leg turned in, wore a cast for 3 months Bones from knees to ankles grew inward Webbed finger on hand Delta phalanges of index fingers Crocked foot or legs			1
7	756	1 1		1	Leg problem, knees hurt as infant Unusually tiny head Premature fusion of sagittal sutures Skull slightly deformed		1	
			1	1	Bone deformity Small neck muscles from being in breach position Feet curved in at birth		1	
7	151		1 2	1 1 5 1	Ichthyosis No finger or toe nails Skin pigmentation Skin discoloration Yellow color, disappeared in a week Birthmarks Two nipples on breast Skin tags	1		1 1
7	758	2			Down's Syndrome	3		
T	OTAL	30	18	26	= 74	19	19	6 = 44

*Child deceased.

Table XI-15 relates the ICD codes to the level of severity to the reported statement of the spouse or study participant. Of the 74 post-RVN Ranch Hand reported birth defects, 30 are of a severe and 18 of a moderate level of severity. Counts of reported birth defects pre-RVN and post-RNV by occupational category are presented in Table XI-16. Inspection of this table shows that the increase in reported birth defects post-RVN are predominately from personnel in

the Ranch Hand and total comparison enlisted ground occupational category. However, these data have not yet been adjusted by the number of live births in each occupational category.

Table XI-16

COUNTS OF REPORTED BIRTH DEFECTS PRE- AND POST-SEA BY

COCUPATIONAL CATEGORY (OFFICER, ENLISTED-FLYING, ENLISTED-GROUND)

	Ranci	n Hand	Original	Comperisons	Total Co	Total Comparisons		
Occupational Category	Pre-SEA Counts	Post-SEA Counts	Pre-SEA Counts	Post-SEA Counts	Pre-SEA Counts	Post-SEA Counts		
Officer Enlisted -	44	15	10	16	52	22		
Flying Enlisted -	13	12	15	5	21	10		
Ground	21	49	<u>25</u>	<u>23</u>	40	<u>45</u>		
TOTAL	78	76	80	11,1	113	77		

Exposure analyses were performed using the covariates of maternal age, maternal smoking, maternal alcohol use, and paternal age. Each covariable was analyzed separately. The number and result of live birth outcomes by occupational category available for each covariate analysis are presented in Table XI-17 and the results of each covariate analysis are shown in Table XI-18.

Table XI-17

NUMBER AND RESULT OF LIVE BIRTH OUTCOMES FOR EACH COVARIATE ANALYSIS
BY OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORY

			Category							
					Enli	sted	Enlis	ted		
			Offi	cers	Fly	Flying		nd		
Parameter	Covaria	ble	Yes	Мо	Yes	No	Yes	No		
Learning										
Disability	Maternal	Smoking	15	190	8	84	52	469		
•	Maternal	Alcohol	15	190	8	84	52	469		
	Maternal	Age	16	203	8	100	53	523		
	Paternal	Age	16	203	8	100	53	523		
Physical										
Handicap	Maternal	Smoking	26	179	12	80	81	440		
•	Maternal	Alcohol	26	179	12	80	81	440		
	Maternal	Age	26	193	13	95	86	490		
	Paternal	Age	26	193	13	95	86	490		
Infant Death	Maternal	Smoking	1	204	1	91	2	519		
	Maternal	Alcohol	1	204	1	91	2	519		
	Maternal	Age	. 1	218	1	107	3	573		
	Paternal	Age	1	218	1	107	3	573		
Birth Defects	Maternal	Smoking	12	193	11	81	50	471		
	Maternal	Alcohol	12	193	11	81	50	471		
	Maternal	Age	12	207	12	96	53	523		
	Paternal	Age	12	207	12	96	53	523		
Neonatal							•			
Death	Maternal	Smoking	3	202	4	88	6	515		
	Maternal	Alcohol	3 3 3	202	14	88	6	515		
	Maternal	Age	3	216	4	104	6	570		
	Paternal	Age	3	216	4	104	6	570		

Table XI-18

RESULTS OF THE LIVE BIRTH/EXPOSURE INDEX ANALYSES

Outcome/Exposure
P Value. Adjusted for:

		P Value, Adjusted for:					
			Maternal		Paternal		
Parameter	Occupational Category	Smoking	Alcohol	Age	Age		
Learning	Officers	0.47	0.46	0.31	0.34		
Disability	Enlisted, Flying	-	-	7 .	-		
-	Enlisted, Ground	0.92	0.94	0.89	0.85		
Physical	Officers	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05		
Handicap	Enlisted, Flying	0.89	0.69	0.47	0.56		
•	Enlisted, Ground	0.78	0.79*	0.76*	0.79		
Infant Death	Officers	-	,	-	. -		
	Enlisted, Flying		-	-	=		
	Enlisted, Ground		-	-	-		
Birth Defects	Officers	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02		
	Enlisted, Flying	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.03		
	Enlisted, Ground	0.39	0.35	0.46	0.41		
Neonatal Death	Officers	-	-	-	-		
	Enlisted, Flying	-	-	-	-		
	Enlisted, Ground	F *	~	-	<u>-</u>		

⁻ Data too sparse for valid statistical analysis.

These results demonstrate consistency across all covariates for each of the live birth outcomes; however, as noted in Table XI-18, the data are sparse in many instances, especially for officer and enlisted flying personnel. Birth defects are found to have a statistically significant association with herbicide exposure level in the officer and enlisted flying groups. However, there is not a consistent increase in defects with increasing exposure in the officer category. In the enlisted flying group the adverse outcome did increase consistently with increasing exposure. The pattern in the officer group demonstrated a two-fold rise in the medium level but the highest exposure group had the lowest proportion of children with defects (1.25). Physical handicaps in children of officers demonstrated borderline significance.

5. Summary

A summary of the findings of the fertility and reproductive analyses are displayed in Table XI-19.

^{*} Significant three-factor interaction is present.

Table XI-19
SUMMARY OF FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE ANALYSES

	P Values								
	Exposure Analyses by								
					0cc	cupational (l Group		
	Unadj	usted	Adjus	<u>ted</u>		Enlisted	Enlisted		
<u>Parameter</u>	0	A	<u>o</u>	A	<u>Officers</u>	Flying	Ground		
Infertility	NS	NS							
Sperm Count			NS	ns					
Sperm Abnormality			NS	NS					
Conception Outcomes									
Miscarriage	0.13	0.15	NS	NS	0.04	0.19	NS		
Stillbirth	NS	0.10	NS	NS					
Induced Abortion	0.12	NS	NS	ns	0.12		NS		
Live Birth	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	ns	NS		
Live Birth Outcomes									
Prematurity	NS								
Learning Disability	NS	0.05	0.19	0.12	NS		ns		
Physical Handicap	0.07	<0.01	NS	0.02	0.05	NS	NS		
Infant Death	NS	NS	ns	NS					
Birth Defects	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	NS		
Defects Excluding									
Skin Anomalies			0.14	0.07					
Neonatal Death	0.02	<0.01	0.20	0.03					

NS = Nonsignificant

0 = Original Comparisons

A - All Comparisons

The analyses in this chapter did not reveal any significant differences in fertility/infertility and sperm counts between the Ranch Hand and either comparison group. Conception outcomes of miscarriage, stillbirth, induced abortion and live births also were not found to differ significantly. Analyses unadjusted for known risk factors of pre-SEA conception history, maternal age, maternal smoking, and maternal alcohol use, and paternal age revealed a suggestive association for increases in miscarriage after the father's SEA service in the Ranch Hand group. However, this association and a borderline increase in post-SEA induced abortion in the original comparison group were not evident after consideration of these other risk factors. Analyses of these conception outcomes with the herbicide exposure index also did not reveal any evidence of herbicide effects. A statistically significant association between increasing herbicide exposure and miscarriage was identified in the officer group but this

effect was not observed in the other occupational categories. Borderline significance was noted in officers for stillbirth and induced abortion, but these findings did not increase in occurrence with increasing exposure.

Significant differences were reflected in the analyses of live birth outcomes. These differences were observed for birth defects after the analyses were adjusted for parental covariates. There appeared to be a clustering of birth anomalies of the skin in children of the Ranch Handers. There were no significant group differences for other birth defects, but a suggestive association remained (P = 0.14) after reanalysis with the skin anomalies excluded. Suggestive group differences between the Ranch Handers and original comparisons were also observed after adjusted analysis for learning disability and neonatal death. Exposure analysis identified several findings of statistical and borderline significance; however, the patterns were not consistent across occupational strata. Overall, birth defects demonstrated statistical significance in the adjusted intergroup analysis, and 2 of the 3 occupational group exposure analyses.

A larger number of live birth outcome differences were observed in analyses comparing the Ranch Handers to the total comparison group; however, it is unclear whether these differences are true group differences, or are due to changes in sample size or replacement bias (differential reporting). The value of these analyses in making inferences is therefore limited at this time.

The findings in this chapter do require further evaluation of the possible link between herbicide/dioxin exposure and birth defects. The analyses have relied heavily on unverified spouse reports, and the effect of differential reporting of conception and birth outcomes in pregnancies and in children who the parent might perceive as "special" or "vulnerable" has not been evaluated. This evaluation will be conducted using birth certificates and medical records so that an analysis of verified fertility/reproductive data can be included in the report of the first follow-up physical examination.